



Mohamad Hanif, (9855078694)

S/o Mohammad Sadiq, Baldev Colony,
Nabha, Distt Patiala.

.....Appellant/Complainant

Versus

Public Information Officer

O/o Chief Engineer (South),
PWD B&R, Patiala.

.....Respondent

First Appellate Authority

O/o Chief Engineer (South),
PWD B&R, Patiala.

Appeal Case No.467 of 2021

(Cisco Webex Proceedings)

RTI application filed on	:	22-09-2020
PIO replied on	:	-
First appeal filed on	:	03-11-2020
First Appellate Authority order	:	-

Present: Appellant: Mohamad Hanif

Respondent: Sh. Harjeet Singh (SDO), 9815619545 (In person)

Sh. Vineet Singla (SDO), 8283803326 (In person)

ORDER:

1. The Appellant/Complainant filed above mentioned appeal/complaint cases in the Commission dated 13.01.2021. Accordingly, the cases are fixed for today.
2. Both the parties are present. Respondent, Sh. Harjeet Singh pleaded that only information pertaining to **point no. 1** of the RTI application is available in his office (PWD B&R, Patiala), which is already available on the official website i.e. eproc.punjabmail.gov.in and remaining information related to the office of PWD B&R, Nabha. A written submission was made by the respondent before the court pertaining to the aforesaid statement in detail. Respondent no. 2. Sh. Vineet Singla (PWD B&R, Nabha) stated that sought information can only be furnished if the appellant deposits the requisite fees and also the appellant was duly informed about the same in the stipulated time period as prescribed under the RTI Act 2005.
3. In the view of above this Commission advises the appellant to deposit the requisite amount following which he is to be supplied duly attested copies of the information pertaining to PWD B&R, Nabha. For information pertaining to point no. 1 the commission observes **that the information already in public domain is no longer held by the public authority and the public authority is not obliged to provide the same to an applicant.**

The Appeal stands **disposed** with the above direction

NOTE: All documents / information supplied to appellants / complainants must mandatorily be attested as :Information supplied under the RTI Act, 2005, Signature of the PIO, Name of the PIO, Name of the Public Authority, Date.

Chandigarh
Dated: 20.04.2021

Sd/-
(Maninder Singh Patti)
State Information Commissioner



Sh. Surinder Singh, (8437857289)

S/o Sh. Bakshi Singh, VPO Jandoli,
Distt Hoshiarpur-146102

.....Appellant/Complainant

Versus

Public Information Officer

.....Respondent

O/o Panchayat Secretary, Gram Panchayat,
Jandoli, Distt Hoshiarpur.

First Appellate Authority

O/o District Development and Panchayat Officer,
Hoshiarpur.

Appeal Case No.472 of 2021
(Cisco Webex Proceedings)

RTI application filed on : 27-09-2020
PIO replied on : -
First appeal filed on : 28-10-2020
First Appellate Authority order : -

Present: Appellant: Sh. Surinder Singh (On Telephone Call)

Respondent: Sh. Gurmail Singh (Panchayat Secretary), 9855958635 (In person)

ORDER:

1. The Appellant/Complainant filed above mentioned appeal/complaint cases in the Commission dated 13.01.2021. Accordingly, the cases are fixed for today

Information Sought:

ਬ : ਲੋੜੀਂਦੀ ਸੂਚਨਾ ਦਾ ਵੇਰਵਾ:
ਗਰਾਮ ਪੰਚਾਇਤ ਜੰਡੋਲੀ ਦੀ ਟ੍ਰੇਸ਼ ਖੁੱਲ੍ਹ - ਕਾਂਗੜਾਈ
ਪਿਤਾਥ - ਹਮੀਦ ਖ਼ੈਰ ਦੀਆਂ ਕੌਰਸ਼ਾਂ ਪਿਤੀਸ - 17/09/2020
27-9-20 ਤਕ ਦੀਆਂ ਤਮਦੀਰ ਸੁਭਾ ਕਾਪੀਆਂ ਦੇਣੀਆਂ ਜਾਣ
ਸ਼ਾਹੀਦ ਸਿੰਘ

2. Both the parties are present. Respondent, Sh. Gurmail Singh assured that he will provide the sought information to the appellant through registered post.
3. Accordingly, on the assurance of respondent authority this instant appeal case is **Disposed of** with the directions to respondent PIO, to file a reply / supply information within 10 days from issue of this order. Failing to which action under section 20(1) of RTI act will be initiated submit proof of having compensated the appellant. However, the liberty is granted to the appellant to approach the Commission within one month in case any submission regarding the receiving of information.

NOTE: All documents / information supplied to appellants / complainants must mandatorily be attested as :Information supplied under the RTI Act, 2005, Signature of the PIO, Name of the PIO, Name of the Public Authority, Date.

Chandigarh
Dated: 20.04.2021

Sd/-
(Maninder Singh Patti)
State Information Commissioner



Sh. Sohan Lal Sharma, (9814401531)

H No 677, MIG Phase-I, Urban Estate,
Patiala.

.....Appellant/Complainant

Versus

Public Information Officer

.....Respondent

O/o Block Development and Panchayat Officer,
Block Sanaur, Patiala.

First Appellate Authority

O/o District Development and Panchayat Officer,
Patiala.

Appeal Case No.502 of 2021

(Cisco Webex Proceedings)

RTI application filed on	:	05-10-2020
PIO replied on	:	-
First appeal filed on	:	18-11-2020
First Appellate Authority order	:	-

Present: None

ORDER:

1. The Appellant/Complainant filed above mentioned appeal/complaint cases in the Commission dated 11.01.2021. Accordingly, the cases are fixed for today.
2. The Commission was in receipt of a written submission from respondent PIO, dated 22.03.2021, wherein it was informed that sought information has been supplied to the appellant and he was satisfied with the same. An acknowledgement letter of the appellant is attached with this letter.
3. As the information stands supplied therefore, no cause of action is required in this case. Hence, the instant complaint case is **disposed & closed**.

Chandigarh
Dated: 20.04.2021

Sd/-
(Maninder Singh Patti)
State Information Commissioner



Sh. Kulwant Singh, (6284568375)
S/o Sh. Shivcharan, Village Dehar,
P.O Kalanaur, Distt Gurdaspur-143512.
.....Appellant/Complainant

Versus

Public Information Officer
.....Respondent
O/o Headmaster,
Govt High School, Lakhana Kalan,
Distt Gurdaspur.

Complaint Case No.102 of 2021
(Cisco Webex Proceedings)

RTI application filed on : 18-09-2020
PIO replied on : -

Present: Appellant: Sh. Kulwant Singh
Respondent: Ms. Nirmla Devi (Headmaster)

ORDER:

1. The Appellant/Complainant filed above mentioned appeal/complaint cases in the Commission dated 12.01.2021. Accordingly, the cases are fixed for today.
2. Both the parties are present. Respondent, Ms. Nirmla Devi assured that she will provide the sought information to the complainant through registered post/ give by hand to the appellant.
3. Accordingly, on the assurance of respondent authority this instant complaint case is **disposed of** with the directions to respondent PIO, to file a reply / supply information within 10 days from issue of this order. Failing to which action under section 20(1) of RTI act will be initiated submit proof of having compensated the appellant. However, the liberty is granted to the complainant to approach the Commission within one month in case any submission regarding the receiving of information.

NOTE: All documents / information supplied to appellants / complainants must mandatorily be attested as: Information supplied under the RTI Act, 2005, Signature of the PIO, Name of the PIO, Name of the Public Authority, Date.

Chandigarh
Dated: 20.04.2021

Sd/-
(Maninder Singh Patti)
State Information Commissioner